UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,722	09/19/2003	Victor Morozov	GMU-08-013U	4650
28598 7590 01/25/2011 GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY OFFICE OF TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER, MSN 5G5 4400 UNIVERSITY DRIVE FAIRFAX, VA 22030			EXAMINER	
			JUNG, UNSU	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/665,722	MOROZOV ET AL.
	Art Unit
MARK L. SHIBUYA	1641
	5

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for	Review filed 8 November 2010.
 Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): 	er and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cor ☐ The request does not include reasons why ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: .	a review is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to ruthe mail date of the last Office communication, if no	un from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appeal becaus is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, wh	nterferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been see there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the ed upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	een held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of sremains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has be action will be mailed. No further action is required by	en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) MARK L. SHIBUYA.	(3) <u>QAS KATHLEEN BRAGDON</u> .
(2) <u>EXMR UNSU JUNG</u> .	(4)
/Mark L. Shibuya/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641	